

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,225	FORRER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(2) William C. Gehris.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The changes to claims 12 and 36 were discussed and agreed upon to provide antecedent basis for the claimed individually addressable lasers.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)